1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA		
9			
10	LARRY DARNELL DUNOMES,	CASE NO. C12-5456 BHS-JRC	
11	Petitioner,		
12	v.	ORDER	
13	SCOTT RUSSELL,		
14	Respondent.		
15	The District Court has referred this petition for a writ of habeas corpus to United States		
16	Magistrate Judge, J. Richard Creatura. The Court's authority for the referral is 28 U.S.C. § 636		
17	(b) (1) (A) and (B), and local Magistrate Judge Rules MJR3 and MJR4. Petitioner seeks relief		
18	from a state conviction, thus, the petition is filed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254.		
19	Petitioner asks that the Court appoint counsel to represent him (ECF No. 13). Respondent		
20	opposes the motion (ECF No. 14). Petitioner does not have a constitutional right to have counsel		
21	appointed in cases brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2254 unless an evidentiary hearing is required.		
22	This is because the action is civil, not criminal, in nature. <i>Brown v. Vasquez</i> , 952 F.2d 1164,		
23	1168 (9th Cir. 1991) (citing McCleskey v. Zant, 49	9 U.S. 467, 495 (1991)); see Ortiz v. Stewart,	
24			

1	149 F.3d 923, 939 (9th Cir. 1998) ("There is simply no constitutional right to an attorney in a
2	state post-conviction proceeding"); see also Terrovona v. Kincheloe, 852 F.2d 424, 429 (9th Cir.
3	1988); and Rule 8(c) of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases in the United States District
4	Courts."
5	Petitioner has failed to demonstrate that he is entitled to counsel at this point in the
6	proceedings and the motion is denied.
7	
8	Dated this 22nd day of August, 2012.
9	
10	Illand in the
11	J. Richard Creatura
12	United States Magistrate Judge
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	